UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

		08.	JUL 31 PM 3	This space for t's Office File Stamp
TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE FROM: E. Lloyd, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/28/08/17				
CASE NO.: 08cv441-LAB (RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Lantz Arnell				
CASE TITLE: Arnell v. Jack Lieb Esq. & Ass. Et al				
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Motion for Reconsideration of Denial				
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:				
✓ I	Local Rule	Discrepancy		
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting do	cumentation	
$\bar{\Box}$	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper		
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.		
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely		
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document		
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions		
	7.1	Missing table of contents		
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself		
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order		
		Supplemental documents require court order		
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest		
X		OTHER: Missing original signature on motion		
		Date for	warded: 7	//28/08
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:				
	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.			
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers			
		Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuan to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF:		
	Dated: 7 3 1 2 cc: All Parties	By: Mul Por	al	